1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney		
3	J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811) Deputy Chief, Criminal Division		
4 5 6 7 8	GARTH HIRE (CABN 187330) Assistant United States Attorney  1301 Clay Street, Suite 340-S Oakland, California 94612-5217 Telephone: (510) 637-3929 Facsimile: (510) 637-3724 E-Mail: Garth.Hire@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	7 Moneys for Frameir		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	OAKLAND DIVISION		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 09-00775 SBA	
14 15	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDING TIME	
16 17 18	JUAN OCTAVIANO LOPEZ, aka Juan Octavio Lopez Ortiz, aka Juan Lopez Gomez, aka Manuel Vargas, and GLENDY GOMEZ, aka Glendy Elizabeth Gomez,		
19 20	Defendants.	) ) )	
21			
22	Plaintiff, by and through its attorney of record, and defendants, by and through their		
23	counsel of record, hereby stipulate and ask the Court to find as follows:		
24	1. A status conference in this matter is currently scheduled for 10 a.m. on Tuesday,		
25	September 13, 2011.  The position request that this bearing he continued until 10 a.m. on Manday.		
26	2. The parties request that this hearing be continued until 10 a.m. on Monday,		
27 28	October 24, 2011, in order to provide defendants' counsel with additional time to evaluate the evidence in this case and determine whether or not defendants should enter a change of plea or		
	STIPULATION AND ORDER RESCHEDULING HEARING; EXCLUDING TIME		

file motions and to prepare for trial in this matter.

- 3. Specifically, counsel for defendant Gomez is in the process of scheduling an appointment to review the physical and electronic evidence in this matter. This review is necessary for effective preparation. In addition, counsel for defendant Lopez is presently investigating the validity of defendant Lopez's prior state felony narcotics conviction. The parties believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny defendants' counsel and defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence and that the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and defendant in a trial within the date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- 4. Thus, the parties respectfully request that the Court find that the time period from September 13, 2011, to October 24, 2011, is excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendants' request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account due diligence, as well as continuity of counsel.

3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
,		MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
)		
	Dated: September 12, 2011	GARTH HIRE
:		Assistant United States Attorney
3		Attorney for United States of America
•		
;	Dated: September 12, 2011	LYNN KESLAR
;		Attorney for Defendant
,		Juan Octaviano Lopez

Dated: September 12, 2011 1 RANDALL KNOX 2 Attorney for Defendant Glendy Gomez 3 4 5 **ORDER** 6 FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED THAT: 7 1. The currently scheduled September 13, 2011, status conference hearing is 8 vacated. A status conference hearing is now scheduled for 10:00 a.m. on October 24, 2011. 9 2. The time period from September 13, 2011, to October 24, 2011, is deemed 10 excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance 11 granted by the Court at the defendants' request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the 12 ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the 13 defendants in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably 14 deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account 15 due diligence, as well as continuity of counsel. 16 17 DATED: <u>9/12/11</u> 18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28